

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradomark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D © 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/807,664	07/05/2001	Wolfgang Becker	H3624PCT/US	2217	
23657	7590 04/22/2003				
COGNIS CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
	SANCE BLVD., SUITE 20 LS, PA = 19406	00	HOWARD, JAC	ACQUELINE V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764	,	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	/.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Survey	09/807,664	BECKER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	The MAILING DATE AND	Jacqueline V. Howard	1764				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any.  Status							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ehruary 2003					
	20\ This	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allower	ICO oxcopt for farmed					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 11-28 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)[☐ Claim(s) <u>11-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CED 4.05(1)							
is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner							
in approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) in translation of the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has been as a significant to the foreign language provisional application has a significant to the foreign language provision has been as a significant to the foreign language provision has been as a significant to the significant to							
7 okthowicugment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 120 and/or 121							
Accommend(s)							
2) [ 3) [	2 market biodesure statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (P 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s) int Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Sum							

Application/Control Number: 09/807,664

Art Unit: 1764

Claims 11 to 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al (5,439,709) for the reasons of record as fully set forth in the Office Action dated September 6, 2002.

Applicant's arguments filed February 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection of the claims as being obvious in view of Becker et al and point out the three criteria that must be satisfied to establish a prima facie case of obviousness.

The examiner is well aware of the criteria for establishing a case of prima facia obviousness and maintains the position that the claimed invention would be prima facie obvious in view of the cited prior art

In the first place, applicants argue that the claimed invention comprises a mixture "consisting essentially of". The examiner could not find this language in any claims. Applicants should state specifically where it is found in the specification and claims.

Also, applicants argue that Becker discloses fatty acid methyl esters based on C8-22 fatty acids of various natural origins and provides no distinction between animal-base fatty acids and vegetable-based fatty acids. It is the examiner's position that the fact that patentee did not restrict his fatty acid to vegetable based fatty acids does not negate the fact that he taught them. Of the fatty acid based methyl esters taught at col. 1, line 60 to 62, only one is animal based. The other five are vegetable based. There is no teaching of a preferred fatty acid methyl ester. The examiner does not modify the teaching of the reference. There would be no need to modify the teachings of Becker since he specifically teaches vegetable-based fatty acid methyl esters.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,664

Art Unit: 1764

While Becker exemplifies animal- based fatty acids, references are not used just for what is in the illustrative examples but what is realistically taught in the specification.

The examiner also takes the position that the issue is not whether use of vegetable based esters exhibit unexpected results as compared with animal based esters. The issue is whether Becker teaches a process for lubricating wool wherein a lubricant composition comprising a mixture of  $C_{6-22}$  fatty acid methyl esters based on vegetable fatty acids is contacted with wool fibers. Patentee performs such a process. It is not obvious to follow the teachings of the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to J. V. Howard at telephone number (703) 308-2514.

J. Howard/dh

, Application/Control Number: 09/807,664

Art Unit: 1764

April 15, 2003

Page 4

Atouard 1